CLEUKS WIT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO AT ALBUQUERQUE NM

APR 2 4 2000

HECTOR LUIS LOPEZ,

Applicant,

ROBERT M. MARCH CLERK

V.

No. CIV-00-0533 JC/DJS

JOE WILLIAMS, et al.,

Respondents.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER FOR TRANSFER

This matter is before the Court on Applicant's motion for leave to proceed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (Doc. #2), and *sua sponte* for preliminary consideration of Applicant's application for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Applicant previously filed an application under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, *Lopez v. Williams*, No. CIV-00-0200 BB/RLP, which was dismissed for failure to exhaust state court remedies.

Under § 2244(b)(3)(A), before an applicant may file or prosecute a second or successive application for writ of habeas corpus, an order authorizing the filing must be issued by the appropriate court of appeals. Even though the previous application was brought under § 2241, the instant application is subject to this "gatekeeping" provision of section 2244(b)(3)(A). Gutierrez v. Perrill, No. 96-1038, 1996 WL 733768, at **1 (10th Cir. 1996); Vargas v. Sikes, 42 F. Supp.2d 1380, 1381 (N.D. Ga. 1999) (citing Felker v. Turpin, 518 U.S. 651, 662 (1996)); and see Reid v. Oklahoma, 101 F.3d 628, 630 (10th Cir. 1996) (applying abuse-of-the-writ principles to petitions attacking execution of sentence). Applicant now raises claims which are not "simply a continuation of the earlier petition." McWilliams v. Colorado, 121 F.3d 573, 575 (10th Cir. 1997). A second or successive application that is not accompanied by an authorizing order must be transferred to the



United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631. Coleman v. United States, 106 F.3d 339, 341 (10th Cir. 1997). The instant application is second or successive, and is not accompanied by an authorizing order. This proceeding will be transferred to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Applicant's motion for leave to proceed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (Doc. #2) is GRANTED, and Applicant may proceed without prepayment of costs or other fees or the necessity of giving security therefor;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to transfer this case to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631.

NITED STATES DISTRICT JU